# Global $L^{\infty}$ Solutions to System of Isentropic Gas Dynamics in a Divergent Nozzle with Friction 

Qing-you Sun and Yun-guang Lu<br>K.K.Chen Institute for Advanced Studies<br>Hangzhou Normal University, P. R. CHINA<br>Christian Klingenberg<br>Deptartment of Mathematics<br>Wuerzburg University, Germany


#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the global $L^{\infty}$ entropy solutions for the Cauchy problem of system of isentropic gas dynamics in a divergent nozzle with a friction (1.1) with bounded initial date (1.2). Especially when the adiabatic exponent $\gamma=3$, we apply for the maximum principle to obtain the $L^{\infty}$ estimates $w\left(\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}, u^{\delta, \varepsilon}\right) \leq B(t)$ and $z\left(\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}, u^{\delta, \varepsilon}\right) \leq B(t)$ for the viscosity solutions ( $\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}, u^{\delta, \varepsilon}$ ) of the Cauchy problem (1.9) and (1.10), where $w$ and $z$ are the Riemann invariants of (1.1), and $B(t)$ is a nonnegative bounded function for any finite time $t$. This work, in the special case $\gamma \geq 3$, extends the previous works [Lu, Nonlinear Analysis, Real World Applications, 39: 418-423, 2018], which provided the global entropy solutions for the Cauchy problem (1.1) and (1.2) with the restriction $w\left(\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}, u^{\delta, \varepsilon}\right) \leq 0$ or $z\left(\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}, u^{\delta, \varepsilon}\right) \leq 0$.


Key Words: Global $L^{\infty}$ solution; isentropic gas dynamics; source terms; flux approximation; compensated compactness
Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 35L45, 35L60, 46T99.

## 1 Introduction

The following system of isentropic gas dynamics in a divergent nozzle with a friction, whose physical phenomena called "choking or choked flow", occurs in
the nozzle (see [Sh, Ts4] for the details),

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{t}+(\rho u)_{x}=-\frac{a^{\prime}(x)}{a(x)} \rho u  \tag{1.1}\\
(\rho u)_{t}+\left(\rho u^{2}+P(\rho)\right)_{x}=-\frac{a^{\prime}(x)}{a(x)} \rho u^{2}-\alpha \rho u|u|,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\rho$ is the density of gas, $u$ the velocity, $P=P(\rho)$ the pressure, $a(x)$ is a slowly variable cross section area at $x$ in the nozzle and $\alpha$ denotes a friction constant. For the polytropic gas, $P$ takes the special form $P(\rho)=\frac{1}{\gamma} \rho^{\gamma}$, where $\gamma>1$ is the adiabatic exponent.

The golobal entropy solutions for the Cauchy problem (1.1) with bounded initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\rho(x, 0), u(x, 0))=\left(\rho_{0}(x), u_{0}(x)\right), \quad \rho_{0}(x) \geq 0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

was first studied in [Ts4] for the usual gases $1<\gamma \leq \frac{5}{3}$, and later, by the author in [Lu4] for any adiabatic exponent $\gamma>1$, provided that the initial data are bounded and satisfy the strong restriction condition $z_{0}\left(\rho_{0}(x), u_{0}(x)\right) \leq 0$.

It is well-known that after we have a method to obtain the global existence of solutions for the Cauchy problem of the following homogeneous system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{t}+(\rho u)_{x}=0  \tag{1.3}\\
(\rho u)_{t}+\left(\rho u^{2}+P(\rho)\right)_{x}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the bounded initial data (1.2), the unique difficulty to treat the inhomogeneous system (1.1) is to obtain the a-priori $L^{\infty}$ estimate of the approximation solutions of (1.1), for instance, the a-priori $L^{\infty}$ estimate of the viscosity solutions for the Cauchy problem of the parabolic system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{t}++(\rho u)_{x}=-\frac{a^{\prime}(x)}{a(x)} \rho u+\varepsilon \rho_{x x}  \tag{1.4}\\
(\rho u)_{t}+\left(\rho u^{2}+P(\rho)\right)_{x}=-\frac{a^{\prime}(x)}{a(x)} \rho u^{2}-\alpha \rho u|u|+\varepsilon(\rho u)_{x x}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the initial data (1.2).
When $a^{\prime}(x)=0$, (1.1) is the river flow equations, a shallow-water model describing the vertical depth $\rho$ and mean velocity $u$, where $\alpha \rho u|u|$ corresponds physically to a friction term and $\alpha$ is a nonnegative constant. This kind of inhomogeneous systems is simple since the source terms have, in some senses, the
symmetric behavior. We may introduce the Riemann invariants $(w, z)$ of system (1.3) to rewrite (1.1) as the following symmetric, coupled system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{t}+\lambda_{2} w_{x}=\varepsilon w_{x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} w_{x}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \rho^{2} \sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}\left(2 P^{\prime}+\rho P^{\prime \prime}\right) \rho_{x}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha(w-z)|u|  \tag{1.5}\\
z_{t}+\lambda_{1} z_{x}=\varepsilon z_{x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} z_{x}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \rho^{2} \sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}\left(2 P^{\prime}+\rho P^{\prime \prime}\right) \rho_{x}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha(z-w)|u|,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(\rho, u)=\int_{c}^{\rho} \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(s)}}{s} d s-u, \quad w(\rho, u)=\int_{c}^{\rho} \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(s)}}{s} d s+u \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c$ is a constant. We can apply for the maximum principle directly to (1.5) to obtain the necessary a-priori $L^{\infty}$ estimates $w\left(\rho^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}\right) \leq M$ and $z\left(\rho^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}\right) \leq N$, for two suitable constants $M, N$ (see ([KL]) for the details).

When $\alpha=0$, i.e., the nozzle flow without friction, system (1.1) was well studied in ([Ts1, Ts2, Ts4, Lu3, LG]). Roughly speaking, the technique, introduced in these papers, is to control the super-linear source terms $\frac{a^{\prime}(x)}{a(x)} \rho u$ and $\frac{a^{\prime}(x)}{a(x)} \rho u^{2}$ by the flux functions $\rho u$ and $\rho u^{2}+P(\rho)$ in (1.1) and to deduce a upper bound of $w$ or $z$ by a bounded nonegative function $B(x)$, which depends on the function $a(x)$.

When $a^{\prime}(x) \not \equiv 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, both the above techniques do not work because the flux functions can not be used to control the super-linear friction source terms $\alpha \rho u|u|$, and the functions $\frac{a^{\prime}(x)}{a(x)} \rho u$ destroyed the symmetry of the Riemann invariants $(w, z)$. In fact, we may copy the method given in ([Ts4, Lu4]) to obtain the following process.

First, to avoid the singularity of the flux function $\rho u^{2}$ near the vacuum $\rho=0$, we still use the technique of the $\delta$-flux-approximation given in [Lu2] and introduce the sequence of systems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{t}+(-2 \delta u+\rho u)_{x}=A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) u  \tag{1.7}\\
(\rho u)_{t}+\left(\rho u^{2}-\delta u^{2}+P_{1}(\rho, \delta)\right)_{x}=A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) u^{2}-\alpha \rho u|u|
\end{array}\right.
$$

to approximate system (1.1), where $A(x)=-\frac{a^{\prime}(x)}{a(x)}, \delta>0$ denotes a regular perturbation constant and the perturbation pressure

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}(\rho, \delta)=\int_{2 \delta}^{\rho} \frac{t-2 \delta}{t} P^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, we add the viscosity terms to the right-hand side of (1.7) to obtain the following parabolic system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{t}+((\rho-2 \delta) u)_{x}=A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) u+\varepsilon \rho_{x x}  \tag{1.9}\\
(\rho u)_{t}+\left(\rho u^{2}-\delta u^{2}+P_{1}(\rho, \delta)\right)_{x}=A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) u^{2}-\alpha \rho u|u|+\varepsilon(\rho u)_{x x}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}(x, 0), u^{\delta, \varepsilon}(x, 0)\right)=\left(\rho_{0}(x)+2 \delta, u_{0}(x)\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\rho_{0}(x), u_{0}(x)\right)$ are given in (1.2).
Now we multiply (1.9) by $\left(w_{\rho}, w_{m}\right)$ and $\left(z_{\rho}, z_{m}\right)$, respectively, where $(w, z)$ are given in (1.6), to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{t}+\lambda_{2}^{\delta} w_{x} \\
& =\varepsilon w_{x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} w_{x}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \rho^{2} \sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}\left(2 P^{\prime}+\rho P^{\prime \prime}\right) \rho_{x}^{2}  \tag{1.11}\\
& +A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) u \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho}-\alpha u|u|
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{t}+\lambda_{1}^{\delta} z_{x} \\
& =\varepsilon z_{x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} z_{x}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \rho^{2} \sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}\left(2 P^{\prime}+\rho P^{\prime \prime}\right) \rho_{x}^{2}  \tag{1.12}\\
& +A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) u \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho}+\alpha u|u|
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{\delta}=\frac{m}{\rho}-\frac{\rho-2 \delta}{\rho} \sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}, \quad \lambda_{2}^{\delta}=\frac{m}{\rho}+\frac{\rho-2 \delta}{\rho} \sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

are two eigenvalues of the approximation system (1.7).
It is obvious that the terms $A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) u \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho}$ in (1.11) and (1.12) are not symmetric with respect to the Riemann invariants $w, z$. However, with the strong restriction $z_{0}\left(\rho_{0}(x), u_{0}(x)\right) \leq 0$ on the initial data, in ([Ts4, Lu4]), we may obtain the uniformly upper bounds of $z$ and $w$ by using the maximum principle. In some senses, it is similar to obtain the estimate $u \leq 0$ for the following scalar equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+f(u)_{x}+S(u, x, t) u=\varepsilon u_{x x} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any local bounded function $S(u, x, t)$ when the initial data $u_{0}(x) \leq 0$.

In this paper, we will remove the condition $z_{0}\left(\rho_{0}(x), u_{0}(x)\right) \leq 0$, and prove the uniformly upper bound of $z$ and $w$ by a bounded nonnegative function $B(t)$ of $t$, and obtain the global existence theorem of the entropy solutions for the Cauchy problem (1.1) and (1.2) as follows:

Theorem 1 (I). Let $P(\rho)=\frac{1}{\gamma} \rho^{\gamma}, \gamma \geq 3$, the function $A(x) \leq 0($ or $A(x) \geq 0$ ) be bounded. Then there exists a bounded, nonegative function $B(t)$ such that $w\left(\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}, u^{\delta, \varepsilon}\right) \leq B(t), z\left(\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}, u^{\delta, \varepsilon}\right) \leq B(t)$, where $B(t)$ depends only on the bound of the initial data.
(II). Under the conditions in (I), there exists a subsequence of $\left(\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}(x, t), u^{\delta, \varepsilon}(x, t)\right)$, which converges pointwisely to a pair of bounded functions $(\rho(x, t), u(x, t))$ as $\delta, \varepsilon$ tend to zero, and the limit is a weak entropy solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2)

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.
When $A(x) \leq 0$, we rewrite systems (1.11) and (1.12) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{t}+\lambda_{2}^{\delta} w_{x}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho}+\alpha|u|\right)(w-z) \\
& =\varepsilon w_{x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} w_{x}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \rho^{2} \sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}\left(2 P^{\prime}+\rho P^{\prime \prime}\right) \rho_{x}^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{t}+\lambda_{1}^{\delta} z_{x}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha|u|(z-w)-A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho}\left(\int_{c}^{\rho} \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(s)}}{s} d s-z\right) \\
& =\varepsilon z_{x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} z_{x}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \rho^{2} \sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}\left(2 P^{\prime}+\rho P^{\prime \prime}\right) \rho_{x}^{2} . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $v_{1}=w-B(t), v_{2}=z-B(t)$, we have from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1 t}+B^{\prime}(t)+\lambda_{2}^{\delta} v_{1 x}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho}+\alpha|u|\right)\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon v_{1 x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} v_{1 x}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \rho^{2} \sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}\left(2 P^{\prime}+\rho P^{\prime \prime}\right) \rho_{x}^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{2 t}+B^{\prime}(t)+\lambda_{1}^{\delta} v_{2 x}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha|u|\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)+A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho} v_{2} \\
& -A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho} \int_{c}^{\rho} \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(s)}}{s} d s+B(t) A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\varepsilon v_{2 x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} v_{2 x}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \rho^{2} \sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}\left(2 P^{\prime}+\rho P^{\prime \prime}\right) \rho_{x}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $B(t)$ such that $B^{\prime}(t) \geq 0,0 \leq B(t) \leq \beta$, where $\beta$ be a positive constant. Then when $\int_{2 \delta}^{\rho} \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(s)}}{s} d s \geq \beta$ or $\rho$ is large since $\gamma \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime}(t)-A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho} \int_{c}^{\rho} \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(s)}}{s} d s+B(t) A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho} \geq 0 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\int_{c}^{\rho} \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(s)}}{s} d s<\beta$ or $\rho$ is small, we can always choose a $B(t)$, where $B^{\prime}(t)$ is suitable large, such that (2.5) is also true. Therefore, we have the following inequalities from (2.3) and (2.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1 t}+\lambda_{2}^{\delta} v_{1 x}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho}+\alpha|u|\right)\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) \leq \varepsilon v_{1 x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} v_{1 x} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2 t}+\lambda_{1}^{\delta} v_{2 x}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha|u|\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)+A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho} v_{2} \leq \varepsilon v_{2 x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} v_{2 x} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the initial data satisfy $z_{0}\left(\rho_{0}(x), u_{0}(x)\right) \leq B(0), w_{0}\left(\rho_{0}(x), u_{0}(x)\right) \leq B(0)$, then $v_{i 0}\left(\rho_{0}(x), u_{0}(x)\right) \leq 0, i=1,2$, we can apply for the maximum principle given in $([\operatorname{Lu} 5])$ to (2.6) and (2.7) to obtain $v_{i}\left(\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}(x, t), u^{\delta, \varepsilon}(x, t)\right) \leq 0, i=1,2$, where the coefficient $A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho}$ before $v_{2}$ is only necessary to be local bounded.

In fact, we rewrite inequalities (2.6) and (2.7) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1 t}+\lambda_{2}^{\delta} v_{1 x}+c_{1}(x, t)\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) \leq \varepsilon v_{1 x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} v_{1 x} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2 t}+\lambda_{1}^{\delta} v_{2 x}+c_{2}(x, t)\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)+c_{3}(x, t) v_{2} \leq \varepsilon v_{2 x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} v_{2 x} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}(x, t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho}+\alpha|u|\right) \geq 0, c_{2}(x, t)=\frac{1}{2} \alpha|u| \geq 0, c_{3}(x, t)=$ $A(x)(\rho-2 \delta) \frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}(\rho)}}{\rho}$.

Make a transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=\left(\overline{v_{1}}+\frac{N\left(x^{2}+c L e^{t}\right)}{L^{2}}\right) e^{\beta t}, \quad v_{2}=\left(\overline{v_{2}}+\frac{N\left(x^{2}+c L e^{t}\right)}{L^{2}}\right) e^{\beta t}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L, c, N, \beta$ are positive constants and $N$ is the upper bound (locally) of $v_{1}, v_{2}$, and $\beta$ the upper bound (locally) of $c_{3}(x, t)$ on $R \times[0, T]$ ( $N, \beta$ can be obtained by the local existence). The function $\overline{v_{1}}, \overline{v_{1}}$, as are easily seen, satisfy the inequalities

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\bar{v}_{1 t}+ & \lambda_{2}^{\delta} \bar{v}_{1 x}+c_{1}(x, t)\left(\overline{v_{1}}-\overline{v_{2}}\right)+\beta\left(\overline{v_{1}}+\frac{N\left(x^{2}+c L e^{t}\right)}{L^{2}}\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
& +\left(c L e^{t}+2 \lambda_{2}^{\delta} x-2 \varepsilon\right) \frac{N}{L^{2}} \leq \varepsilon \bar{v}_{1 x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} \overline{v_{1 x}} \\
\overline{v_{2 t}}+ & \lambda_{1}^{\delta} \bar{v}_{2 x}+c_{2}(x, t)\left(\overline{v_{2}}-\overline{v_{1}}\right)+\left(\beta+c_{3}(x, t)\right)\left(\overline{v_{2}}+\frac{N\left(x^{2}+c L e^{t}\right)}{L^{2}}\right) \\
& +\left(c L e^{t}+2 \lambda_{1}^{\delta} x-2 \varepsilon\right) \frac{N}{L^{2}} \leq \varepsilon \bar{v}_{2 x x}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\rho} \rho_{x} \bar{v}_{2 x}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

resulting from (2.8) and (2.9). Moreover

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overline{v_{1}}(x, 0)=w(x, 0)-B(0)-\frac{N\left(x^{2}+c L\right)}{L^{2}}<0, \\
\overline{v_{2}}(x, 0)=z(x, 0)-B(0)-\frac{N\left(x^{2}+c L\right)}{L^{2}}<0,
\end{array}\right.  \tag{2.12}\\
\overline{v_{1}}(+L, t)<0, \overline{v_{1}}(-L, t)<0, \overline{v_{2}}(+L, t)<0, \overline{v_{2}}(-L, t)<0 . \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{v_{1}}(x, t)<0, \quad \overline{v_{1}}(x, t)<0, \quad \text { on } \quad(-L, L) \times(0, T) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (2.14) is violated at a point $(x, t) \in(-L, L) \times(0, T)$, let $\bar{t}$ be the least upper bound of values of t at which $\overline{v_{1}}<0$ (or $\overline{v_{2}}<0$ ); then by the continuity we see that $\overline{v_{1}}=0, \overline{v_{2}} \leq 0$ at some points $(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) \in(-L, L) \times(0, T)$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}_{1 t} \geq 0, \quad \bar{v}_{1 x}=0, \quad \varepsilon \bar{v}_{1 x x} \geq 0, \quad \text { at } \quad(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose sufficiently large constant $c$, which may depend on,$\varepsilon \delta$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c L e^{t}+\lambda_{2}^{\delta} x-2 \varepsilon>0 \quad \text { on } \quad(-L, L) \times(0, T) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

the first equation in (2.11) gives a conclusion contradicting (2.15). So (2.14) is proved. Therefore, for any point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in(-L, L) \times(0, T)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{v_{1}}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)<\frac{N\left(x_{0}^{2}+c L e_{0}^{t}\right)}{L^{2}}, \quad \overline{v_{2}}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)<\frac{N\left(x_{0}^{2}+c L e_{0}^{t}\right)}{L^{2}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the desired estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}, u^{\delta, \varepsilon}\right) \leq B(t), \quad z\left(\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}, u^{\delta, \varepsilon}\right) \leq B(t), \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

if we let $L$ go to infinity. Similiarly, we can obtain the same result for the case of $A(x) \geq 0$, so Part (I) in Theorem 1 is proved.

From the upper estimates given in (2.18), we deduce the estimates $2 \delta \rho^{\delta, \varepsilon} \leq$ $C(t)$ and $\left|u^{\delta, \varepsilon}\right| \leq C(t)$ for a suitable nonnegative, bound function $C(t)$. Since $\gamma \geq 3$, the pointwise convergence of a subsequence of $\rho^{\delta, \varepsilon}$ and $u^{\delta, \varepsilon}$, as $\delta, \varepsilon$ tend to zero, follows directly by using the compact results given in ([LPT]). Theorem 1 is proved.
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