

## Extreme properties of products of invariant factors

By

HARALD K. WIMMER

In this note we characterize products of invariant factors by a sort of “maximum-minimum” property which extends a result of H. Flanders [2, Thm. 3]. In the sequel  $L$  will always be a free module of rank  $n$  over a principal ideal domain  $R$ . Let  $E$  and  $F$  be two submodules of  $L$  such that  $E \supseteq F$ . The *relative weight* of  $F$  in  $E$  is an ideal denoted by  $w(E, F)$ , which is defined as follows. Suppose  $\text{rank } E = \text{rank } F = m$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  be bases of  $E$  and  $F$  respectively. Then  $y_i = \sum_j a_{ij} x_j$  and  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a nonsingular matrix. As in [2] we put

$$w(E, F) = (\det A).$$

In the case where  $\text{rank } E > \text{rank } F$  we set  $w(E, F) = 0$ .

The following notation will be fixed. Let  $M$  be a rank  $r$  submodule of  $L$ , and let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  be the invariant factors of  $M$ , ordered such that  $\alpha_1 | \alpha_2 \dots | \alpha_r$ . Set  $\alpha_{r+1} = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$ .

**Theorem 1** (H. Flanders [2, p. 480]). *For each  $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$  we have*

$$\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_s = \gcd_N \{w(N, N \cap M)\}$$

where  $N$  ranges over all ranks  $s$  direct summands of  $L$ .

Our generalization of the preceding theorem and its proof are closely related to results of A. R. Amir-Moéz [1] on eigenvalues of hermitian matrices. We call  $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G_s$  a *direct summand chain* if each  $G_v$  is a direct summand of  $L$ .

**Theorem 2.** *For any integers  $k_v$  such that  $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_s \leq n$  we have*

$$(1) \quad \alpha_{k_1} \alpha_{k_2} \cdots \alpha_{k_s} = \gcd_{G_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G_s} \left\{ \text{lcm}_{N=[x_1, \dots, x_s]} w(N, N \cap M) \right\}$$

where  $G_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G_s$  ranges over all direct summand chains with  $\text{rank } G_v = k_v$ , and  $N = [x_1, \dots, x_s]$  ranges over all rank  $s$  direct summands of  $L$  with  $x_v \in G_v$ .

For convenience the elements of  $R$  appearing in multiplicative equations are fixed modulo units. Accordingly  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $\text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  denote either the ideals they generate or generators of those ideals. We write  $[x_1, \dots, x_s]$  for the submodule spanned by  $x_1, \dots, x_s$ . – For the proof of (1) we need the following facts.

**Lemma 1** [2]. *Let  $E$  be free over  $R$  and of finite rank and let  $F$  and  $G$  be submodules of  $E$  such that  $E \cong F \cong G$ . Then*

$$w(E, G) = w(E, F)w(F, G).$$

**Lemma 2** (A. Horn [1]). *Let  $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G_s$  and  $H_s \cong H_{s-1} \cong \dots \cong H_1$  be two direct summand chains of  $L$  such that  $\text{rank } G_v + \text{rank } H_v = 1 + \text{rank } L$ ,  $v = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Then there exists a direct summand  $D$  of  $L$  with a basis  $x_1, \dots, x_s$  such that  $x_v \in G_v$  and with another basis  $y_1, \dots, y_s$  where  $y_v \in H_v$ .*

In [1] the preceding result is proved for vector spaces. The proof can easily be carried over to  $R$ -modules.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Put  $p = \alpha_{k_1} \alpha_{k_2} \dots \alpha_{k_s}$  and let  $d = \text{gcd} \{ \text{lcm} \dots \}$  denote the right hand side of (1). We call a submodule  $N$  *admissible* for a chain  $G_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G_s$  if  $N$  is a rank  $s$  direct summand of  $L$  and if  $N = [x_1, \dots, x_s]$  such that  $x_v \in G_v$ ,  $v = 1, \dots, s$ . Let  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  be a fixed basis of  $L$  chosen in such a way that  $\alpha_1 b_1, \dots, \alpha_r b_r$  is a basis of  $M$ . We can assume that  $k_s \leq r$ .

In order to show that  $d|p$  we introduce the submodules  $\tilde{G}_v = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k_v}]$ ,  $v = 1, \dots, s$ . We have  $\text{rank } \tilde{G}_v = k_v$ , and  $\tilde{G}_v$  is a direct summand of  $L$ . The submodule  $\tilde{N} = [b_{k_1}, \dots, b_{k_s}]$  is admissible for the chain  $\tilde{G}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \tilde{G}_s$ . Note that  $\tilde{N} \cap M$  has the basis  $\alpha_{k_1} b_{k_1}, \dots, \alpha_{k_s} b_{k_s}$ . Hence  $w(\tilde{N}, \tilde{N} \cap M) = p$ . Now let  $N' = [u_1, \dots, u_s]$  be an arbitrary admissible submodule for  $\tilde{G}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \tilde{G}_s$ . Put  $Y = [\alpha_{k_1} u_1, \dots, \alpha_{k_s} u_s]$ . Obviously  $w(N', Y) = p$ . Because of  $u_v \in \tilde{G}_v$  we have  $Y \subseteq N' \cap M$  and from Lemma 1 follows  $w(N', Y) = w(N', N' \cap M)w(N' \cap M, Y)$ . Therefore  $w(N', N' \cap M) | p$  and we see that  $p = \text{lcm} \{ w(N', N' \cap M) \}$  where  $N'$  ranges over all submodules which are admissible for the special chain  $\tilde{G}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \tilde{G}_s$ . The definition of  $d$  implies  $d|p$ .

To establish the relation  $p|d$  we consider an arbitrary direct summand chain  $G_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G_s$  of  $L$  where  $\text{rank } G_v = k_v$ . For  $v = 1, \dots, s$  put  $H_v = [b_{k_v}, b_{k_v+1}, \dots, b_n]$ . According to Lemma 2 there exists a direct summand  $D$  which is both admissible for  $G_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G_s$  and for  $H_s \subseteq \dots \subseteq H_1$ . Let  $y_1, \dots, y_s$  be a basis of  $D$  such that  $y_v \in H_v$ ,  $v = 1, \dots, s$ . We have

$$(2) \quad y_v = \sum c_{jv} b_j, \quad c_{jv} = 0 \quad \text{for } j < k_v,$$

and as  $D$  is a direct summand the gcd of the  $s \times s$  minors of  $C = (c_{vj})$  is 1. Suppose first that  $\text{rank}(D \cap M) = s$  and let  $z_1, \dots, z_s$  be a basis of  $D \cap M$ . We have two representations of  $z_v$ , namely  $z_v = \sum \alpha_{\sigma v} y_\sigma$  and  $z_v = \sum q_{jv} \alpha_j b_j$ . The matrices  $A = (a_{\sigma v})$  and  $Q = (q_{jv})$  satisfy

$$CA = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) Q,$$

and  $\det A = w(D, D \cap M)$ . Choose rows  $m_1 < \dots < m_s$  of  $C$  which yield a submatrix  $\hat{C}$  with  $\det \hat{C} = 1$ . Denote the corresponding submatrix of  $Q$  by  $\hat{Q}$  such that

$$\hat{C}A = \text{diag}(\alpha_{m_1}, \dots, \alpha_{m_s}) \hat{Q}.$$

Then  $\det A = \alpha_{m_1} \dots \alpha_{m_s} \cdot \det \hat{Q}$ . Now (2) implies  $m_v \geq k_v$ ,  $v = 1, \dots, s$ . Hence  $p | \det A$ . If  $\text{rank}(D \cap M) < s$  then  $w(D, D \cap M) = 0$  and trivially  $p | w(D, D \cap M)$ . In the lcm below

let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be the set of all submodules which are admissible for the given chain  $G_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G_s$ . We have shown that  $p \mid w(D, D \cap M) \mid \text{lcm} \{w(N, N \cap M), N \in \mathfrak{N}\}$ . Hence  $p \mid d$ , which completes the proof.

**Acknowledgement.** This work was supported by a NATO Collaborative Research Grant.

**Note added in proof.**\*) I am grateful to Dr. B. Alanced for calling my attention to the paper on "Generalized minimax and interlacing theorems" by D. Carlson and E. M. de Sá (Linear Multilin. Algebra **15**, 77–103 (1984)) which provides an abstract framework for extremum formulas for invariant factors and singular values.

#### References

- [1] A. R. AMIR-MOÉZ, Extreme properties of eigenvalues of a hermitian transformation and singular values of the sum and product of linear transformations. Duke Math. J. **23**, 463–476 (1956).
- [2] H. FLANDERS, Finitely generated modules. Duke Math. J. **22**, 477–483 (1955).

Eingegangen am 13. 12. 1988\*\*)

Anschrift des Autors:

H. K. Wimmer  
Mathematisches Institut  
der Universität Würzburg  
Am Hubland  
D-8700 Würzburg

---

\*) Eingegangen am 27. 3. 1990.

\*\*) Eine Neufassung ging am 15. 11. 1989 ein.