

Statistical regularization theory for Inverse Problems with Poisson data

Frank Werner^{1,2}, joint with Thorsten Hohage

¹Statistical Inverse Problems in Biophysics Group
Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry

²Felix Bernstein Institute for Mathematical Statistics in the Biosciences
University of Göttingen



Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 A continuous model
- 3 Regularization methods
- 4 Examples for regularization methods
- 5 Conclusion

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 A continuous model
- 3 Regularization methods
- 4 Examples for regularization methods
- 5 Conclusion

Why focusing on Poisson data?

- In various applications measurements are photon counts:
 - Fluorescence microscopy
 - Astronomical imaging
 - X-ray diffraction imaging
 - Positron Emission Tomography
 - ...
- At low energies the quantization of energy is the main source of noise
- Given an ideal photon detector, the data is purely Poisson distributed

Discrete model

- Suppose the imaging procedure is modelled by a mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$
- Let $u^\dagger \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the exact solution we seek for and $g^\dagger := F(u^\dagger)$, require $g^\dagger \geq 0$
- For the data $g^{\text{obs}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ the value g_i^{obs} is the number of photon counts in detector region $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$
- In the ideal case $g^{\text{obs}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a random variable such that $g_i^{\text{obs}} \sim \text{Poi}(g_i^\dagger)$, e.g.

$$\mathbf{P} \left[g_i^{\text{obs}} = k \right] = \frac{(g_i^\dagger)^k}{k!} \exp(-g_i^\dagger).$$

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 A continuous model**
- 3 Regularization methods
- 4 Examples for regularization methods
- 5 Conclusion

Continuous model I

- Now $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with Banach spaces \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{M})$
- Consequently $u^\dagger \in \mathcal{X}$ and $g^\dagger := F(u^\dagger) \in \mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{M})$, require $g^\dagger \geq 0$

Deterministic approach

Suppose the observed data $g^{\text{obs}} \in \mathcal{Y}$ satisfies a noise bound

$$\left\| g^{\text{obs}} - g^\dagger \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \delta.$$

Alternatively, the norm $\|\cdot - \cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ could be replaced by a different norm or a general loss d .

Continuous model II

- In the ideal case, the data still consists of photon counts
- Say the total number of observed photons is n and their positions are $x_j \in \mathbb{M}$
- n can be influenced by the 'exposure time', mathematically described by a scaling factor $t > 0$
- Associate the measure $\tilde{G}_t = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{x_j}$

Statistic approach

The observed data is a scaled **Poisson process** $G_t = \tilde{G}_t/t$ with intensity g^\dagger , i.e. the measure \tilde{G}_t satisfies the following axioms:

- 1 For each choice of disjoint, measurable sets $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \mathbb{M}$ the random variables $\tilde{G}_t(A_j)$ are stochastically independent.
- 2 $\mathbf{E} \left[\tilde{G}_t(A) \right] = \int_A t g^\dagger dx$ for all $A \subset \mathbb{M}$ measurable.

Deterministic vs. statistic model

- Deterministic model:
 - Clear definition of the noise level, but ...
 - ... the relation to a Poisson distribution is lost!
- Statistic model:
 - Poisson distribution incorporated, in fact it holds

$$\tilde{G}_t(A) \sim \text{Poi} \left(t \int_A g^\dagger dx \right)$$

for all measurable $A \subset \mathbb{M}$, but ...

- ... $G_t \notin \mathcal{Y}$ and no clear definition of the noise level so far!
- Note: Similar statistic model is used by Cavalier & Koo 2002, Antoniadis & Bigot 2006.

Statistic model: noise level I

- For a function g let

$$\int_{\mathbb{M}} g \, d\tilde{G}_t := \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i)$$

- Then

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{M}} g \, dG_t \right] = \int_{\mathbb{M}} g g^\dagger \, dx,$$

$$\mathbf{Var} \left[\int_{\mathbb{M}} g \, dG_t \right] = \frac{1}{t^2} \mathbf{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{M}} g^2 \, d\tilde{G}_t \right] = \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{M}} g^2 g^\dagger \, dx.$$

- Thus any bounded linear functional of g^\dagger can be estimated unbiasedly with a variance proportional to $\frac{1}{t}$.
- This suggests that the noise level should be proportional to $1/\sqrt{t}$.

Statistic model: noise level II

- Any bounded linear functional of g^\dagger can be estimated unbiasedly with a variance proportional to $\frac{1}{t}$.
- ↪ For our analysis, such a property is needed uniformly!
- The following result is based on the work of Renaud-Bouret 2003.

Uniform concentration inequality (W., Hohage 2012)

Suppose $\mathbb{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded & Lipschitz, $s > d/2$ and set

$$\mathfrak{G}(R) := \{g \in H^s(\mathbb{M}) : \|g\|_{H^s} \leq R\}.$$

Then $\exists c = c(\mathbb{M}, s, \|g^\dagger\|_{L^1}) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{P} \left[\sup_{g \in \mathfrak{G}(R)} \left| \int_{\mathbb{M}} g \left(dG_t - g^\dagger dx \right) \right| \geq \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{t}} \right] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{\rho}{cR} \right)$$

for all $R \geq 1$, $t \geq 1$ and $\rho \geq cR$.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 A continuous model
- 3 Regularization methods**
- 4 Examples for regularization methods
- 5 Conclusion

Some notation

- Replace the discontinuous mapping F^{-1} by **continuous** approximations R_α
- Often solutions restricted to $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{X}$
- ◇ Deterministic $\rightsquigarrow R_\alpha : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$
Statistic $\rightsquigarrow R_\alpha : \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ where $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{M}) \hat{=}$ space of all measures
- α chosen by a parameter choice rule $\bar{\alpha}$
- ◇ Deterministic $\rightsquigarrow \bar{\alpha} : (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$
Statistic $\rightsquigarrow \bar{\alpha} : (0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$
- We aim for 'convergence' w.r.t. a **loss** $d : \mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $d(u, u) = 0$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{B}$
- Typical examples: Bregman distance

$$d(u, u^\dagger) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}^{u^*}(u, u^\dagger) := \mathcal{R}(u) - \mathcal{R}(u^\dagger) - \langle u^*, u - u^\dagger \rangle$$

where $u^* \in \partial \mathcal{R}(u^\dagger) \subset \mathcal{X}'$ or norm $d(u, u^\dagger) = \|u - u^\dagger\|_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Regularization schemes

Deterministic Regularization Scheme

$(R_\alpha, \bar{\alpha})$ is called a **deterministic regularization scheme** w.r.t. d if

$$\lim_{\delta \searrow 0} \sup \left\{ d \left(R_{\bar{\alpha}(\delta, g^{\text{obs}})} \left(g^{\text{obs}} \right), u^\dagger \right) \mid g^{\text{obs}} \in \mathcal{Y}, \|g^{\text{obs}} - F(u^\dagger)\| \leq \delta \right\} = 0$$

Statistical Regularization Scheme

$(R_\alpha, \bar{\alpha})$ is called a (consistent) **statistical regularization scheme** under Poisson data w.r.t. d if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 : \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left[d \left(R_{\bar{\alpha}(t, G_t)} \left(G_t \right), u^\dagger \right) > \varepsilon \right] = 0,$$

where G_t is a scaled Poisson process with intensity $F(u^\dagger)$.

Convergence rates

- let $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\psi \nearrow$, $\psi(0) = 0$, $M \subset \mathfrak{B}$.

Deterministic convergence rates

$(R_\alpha, \bar{\alpha})$ obeys the **deterministic convergence rate** ψ on M w.r.t. d if

$$d\left(R_{\bar{\alpha}(\delta, g^{\text{obs}})}\left(g^{\text{obs}}\right), u^\dagger\right) = \mathcal{O}(\psi(\delta)), \quad \delta \searrow 0$$

for all $u^\dagger \in M$ and $\|g^{\text{obs}} - F(u^\dagger)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \delta$.

Statistical convergence rates

$(R_\alpha, \bar{\alpha})$ obeys the **statistical convergence rate** ψ on M w.r.t. d if

$$\mathbf{E}\left[d\left(R_{\bar{\alpha}(\delta, G_t)}\left(G_t\right), u^\dagger\right)\right] = \mathcal{O}(\psi(t)), \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $u^\dagger \in M$ where G_t is a scaled Poisson process with intensity $F(u^\dagger)$.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 A continuous model
- 3 Regularization methods
- 4 Examples for regularization methods**
- 5 Conclusion

Regularization by projection

- Suppose $F = T$ is bounded, linear and positive definite, for simplicity $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} = \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{M})$.
- Regularization by projection: $V_n \subset \mathcal{X}$ with $\dim(V_n) < \infty$ and

$$u_n^{\text{proj}} := \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in V_n} \left\| Tu - g^{\text{obs}} \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 \quad (1)$$

- If $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V_n , then

$$u_n^{\text{proj}} \in V_n : \quad \langle Tu_n^{\text{proj}}, v_j \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{M}} v_j g^{\text{obs}} \, dx, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

- Define u_n^{proj} also in the statistical case by replacing g^{obs} by G_t .
- In principle the norm in (1) can be replaced by any other loss, but ...
- ... for the natural Poissonian choice this leads to problems proving existence of u_n^{proj} and stability.

Some (simplified) results

$$u_n^{\text{proj}} \in V_n : \quad \langle Tu_n^{\text{proj}}, v_j \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{M}} v_j \, dG_t, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

- Cavalier & Koo 2002:
 - $V_n =$ suitable wavelet space, $T =$ Radon transform
 - The projection estimator exists and depends continuously on the data
 - Explicit convergence rate as $t \rightarrow \infty$
- Problem: u_n^{proj} in general not non-negative
- Antoniadis & Bigot 2006:
 - $V_n = \exp(U_n)$ with a suitable Wavelet space U_n
 - Corresponding estimator (if existent) is always non-negative and depends continuously on the data
 - As $t \rightarrow \infty$, the estimator exists with probability 1.
 - Explicit convergence rate as $t \rightarrow \infty$
- Consistency of the estimator is unknown, i.e. it is unclear if u_n^{proj} yields a statistical regularization scheme.

Variational regularization I

- Disadvantage of the aforementioned methods: design does not rely on Poisson distribution!
- Different approach: likelihood methods!
Minimize

$$u \mapsto \mathcal{S}(G_t; F(u)) := -\ln(\mathbf{P}[G_t \mid \text{the exact density is } F(u)])$$

over all admissible u .

- Still ill-posed due to ill-posedness of the original problem. This gives rise to the following variant of Tikhonov regularization:

$$u_\alpha \in \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathfrak{B}} [\mathcal{S}(G_t; F(u)) + \alpha \mathcal{R}(u)]$$

where \mathcal{R} is a convex penalty term and $\alpha > 0$ a regularization parameter.

Variational regularization II

$$u_\alpha \in \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathcal{B}} [\mathcal{S}(G_t; F(u)) + \alpha \mathcal{R}(u)]$$

- Main issue in the analysis: data fidelity term lacks of a triangle-type inequality!
- References for deterministic regularization properties: Eggermont & LaRiccia 1996, Resmerita & Anderssen 2007, Pöschl 2007, Bardsley & Laobeul 2008, Bardsley & Luttmann 2009, Bardsley 2010, Flemming 2010 & 2011, Lorenz & Worliczek 2013 ...
- References for deterministic convergence rates: Benning & Burger 2011, Flemming 2010 & 2011 ...
- Here: statistic case.

Data fidelity terms

- Negative log-likelihood for a scaled Poisson process:

$$\mathcal{S}_0(G_t; g) = \int_{\mathbb{M}} g \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{M}} \ln(g) \, dG_t, \quad g \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

- ideal data misfit functional for exact data g^\dagger given by

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{S}_0(G_t; g)] - \mathbf{E}[\mathcal{S}_0(G_t; g^\dagger)] = \int_{\mathbb{M}} \left[g - g^\dagger - g^\dagger \ln\left(\frac{g}{g^\dagger}\right) \right] dx$$

which is the **Kullback-Leibler divergence** $\mathbb{KL}(g^\dagger; g)$.

- we introduce a shift $\sigma > 0$ and consider

$$\mathcal{S}_\sigma(G_t; g) := \int_{\mathbb{M}} g \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{M}} \ln(g + \sigma) \, (dG_t + \sigma dx)$$

$$\mathcal{T}(g^\dagger; g) := \mathbb{KL}(g^\dagger + \sigma; g + \sigma)$$

Assumptions

Assumptions on the problem

- $(\mathcal{X}, \tau_{\mathcal{X}})$ top. vector space, $\tau_{\mathcal{X}}$ weaker than norm topology, and $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{X}$ closed and convex.
- $F : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{M})$ with $\mathbb{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded & Lipschitz and
 - ① $F : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{M})$ is $\tau_{\mathcal{X}} - \tau_{\omega}$ -sequentially continuous.
 - ② $F(u) \geq 0$ a.e. for all $u \in \mathfrak{B}$.
 - ③ There exists $s > d/2$ such that $F(\mathfrak{B})$ is a bounded subset of $H^s(\mathbb{M})$.

Assumptions on the method

- $\mathcal{R} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ is convex, proper and $\tau_{\mathcal{X}}$ -sequentially lower semicontinuous.
- \mathcal{R} -sublevelsets $\{u \in \mathcal{X} \mid \mathcal{R}(u) \leq M\}$ are $\tau_{\mathcal{X}}$ -sequentially pre-compact.

Statistical regularization properties

$$u_\alpha \in \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathfrak{B}} [\mathcal{S}_\sigma(G_t; F(u)) + \alpha \mathcal{R}(u)]$$

Under those assumptions, a minimizer u_α exists with probability one.

Regularization properties (Hohage, W. 2014)

$R_\alpha G_t := u_\alpha$ with any minimizer u_α equipped with any parameter choice rule $\bar{\alpha}$ fulfilling

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\alpha}(t, G_t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(t)}{\sqrt{t} \bar{\alpha}(t, G_t)} = 0$$

defines a statistical regularization scheme under Poisson data w.r.t. the Bregman distance.



T. Hohage and F. Werner.

Inverse Problems with Poisson Data: statistical regularization theory, applications and algorithms.

In preparation, 2014

Source condition

- As the problem is ill-posed, convergence rates can only be obtained for a strict subset $M \subset \mathcal{X}$
- Here the set M is described by a **variational inequality** as source condition:

$$\beta \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}^{u^*} (u, u^\dagger) \leq \mathcal{R}(u) - \mathcal{R}(u^\dagger) + \varphi \left(\mathcal{T} \left(g^\dagger; F(u) \right) \right) \quad (2)$$

for all $u \in \mathfrak{B}$ with $\beta > 0$. φ is assumed to fulfill

- $\varphi(0) = 0$,
 - $\varphi \nearrow$,
 - φ concave.
- Now the source set $M = M_{\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi}(\beta)$ consists of all $u^\dagger \in \mathfrak{B}$ satisfying (2).

Statistical convergence rates

A priori convergence rates (W., Hohage 2012)

Then for $\alpha = \alpha(t)$ chosen appropriately we obtain the statistical convergence rate $\psi(t) = \varphi(1/\sqrt{t})$ on $M_{\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi}(\beta)$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}^{u^*}(\cdot, u^{\dagger})$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}^{u^*} \left(u_{\alpha}, u^{\dagger} \right) \right] = \mathcal{O} \left(\varphi \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right), \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Under suitable assumptions α can be chosen according to a Lepskĭ-type balancing principle yielding the same rate up to a log-factor.



F. Werner and T. Hohage.

Convergence rates in expectation for Tikhonov-type regularization of Inverse Problems with Poisson data.

Inverse Problems 28, 104004, 2012

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 A continuous model
- 3 Regularization methods
- 4 Examples for regularization methods
- 5 Conclusion**

Presented results

- Regularization theory for inverse problems with Poisson data:
 - Sound mathematical model
 - Definition of regularization properties
 - Convergence rates
- Projection-type estimators
- Tikhonov regularization obeys all those properties under reasonable assumptions

Thank you for your attention!